## 10/628 878 HENG, ZHI Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art Unit UMAR CHEEMA 2444 All Participants: Status of Application: (1) UMAR CHEEMA. (3) \_\_\_\_\_. (2) Joseph M. Lafata (Reg. No. 37,166). (4) \_\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 6 April 2009 Time: 9:30 AM Type of Interview: ▼ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: TYes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None Claims discussed: 1-6 and 8-12 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/628,878

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative Mr. Lafata, about potential amendment in order to allow the case with approval of SPE, William Vaughn. The representative agreeded with Examiner's proposal and gave autorization for examiner's amendment via email containing examiner's proposed Amendment on 04/06/2009.